# Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise, Tourism and the Environment

to

Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee

on

27th October 2011

Agenda Item No.

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry
Team Leader Parking, Traffic Management and Road Safety

Objection to Traffic Regulation Orders - Various Areas
Executive Councillor: Cllr Cox

A Part 1 Public Agenda Item

### 1. Purpose of Report

For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to consider objections to the Traffic Regulation Orders, which have been advertised and are the subject of objections.

### 2. Recommendation

- 2.1 That the Traffic and Parking Working Party consider the objections to the Experimental Order and implement the proposals without amendment.
- 2.2 That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic and Parking Working Party in respect of the objections and implement the proposals without amendment.

### 3. Background

- 3.1 Members agreed earlier this year to advertise proposals to implement waiting restrictions in various areas as a result of requests from Councillors and members of the public, as assessed against the Council's policies. The areas subject to these proposals are:
  - Penhurst Avenue (see Plan 1)
  - Derek Gardens (see Plan 2)
  - Feeches Road (see Plan 3)
- 3.2 The process has resulted in the objections detailed in Appendix 1 to this report and officers have included comments to aid Members considerations.

### 4. Outcome of the advertising Process

4.1 Numbers of representations received for

Alternate Month Amendments
Objection to Traffic Regulation Orders Various Areas 2011

Report No: DETE11/077

- Penhurst Avenue 1
- Derek Gardens 1
- Feeches Road 1

### 5. Other Options

5.1 Members of the Traffic and Parking Working Party may also consider that the proposals as advertised should be implemented with amendments or they may recommend not to implement the proposals at all.

#### 6. Reasons for Recommendations

6.1 To improve highway safety and to reduce congestion, which were the concerns leading to the proposals.

### 7. Corporate Implications

# 7.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities.

7.1.1 Ensuring parking is managed while maintaining adequate access for emergency vehicles and general traffic flow. This is consistent with the Council's Vision and Corporate Priorities.

### 7.2 Financial Implications

7.2.1 Costs for confirmation of the Order and amendments suggested in Appendix 1 will be met from existing budgets.

# 7.3 Legal Implications

7.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

#### 7.4 People Implications

7.4.1 Work required implement any works will be met by existing staff resources.

### 7.4 Property Implications

7.5.1 None

#### 7.6 Consultation

7.6.1 This report provides details of the outcome of the statutory consultation process.

# 7.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications

7.7.1 None.

#### 7.8 Risk Assessment

7.8.1 The proposals are designed to improve highway safety and so have a positive risk assessment.

# 7.9 Value for Money

7.9.1 The proposals offer value for money and will be carried out by contractors procured to provide such.

# 7.10 Community Safety Implications

7.10.1 None.

# 7.11 Environmental Impact

7.11.1 Neutral.

# 8. Background Papers

8.1 Traffic Regulation Orders – Requests for New or Amended Restrictions reports dated 6<sup>th</sup> January 2011 and 17<sup>th</sup> February 2011 and agreed minutes

# 9. Appendices

Appendix 1 - Details of representations received and Officer comments relating to the Report on Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders (Various Areas)